



United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS

CSS:	COMMISSIONER FOR FATERIS
	P.O. Box 1450
	Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
	www.usnto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/770,162 01/26/2001		La Vaughn F. Watts JR.	M-9875 US	8091	
33438	7590 01/25/2006		EXAMINER		
	& TERRILE, LLP	YUN, EUGENE			
P.O. BOX 203 AUSTIN, TX			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
11001111, 111 10120			2682		
			DATE MAILED: 01/25/2006		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No).	Applicant(s)			
		09/770,162		WATTS ET AL.			
Office Action Summary		Examiner		Art Unit			
		Eugene Yun		2682			
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication or Reply	n appears on the cov	er sheet with the co	orrespondence ac	idress		
WHIC - Exte after - If NC - Failu Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR R CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILIN nsions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CI SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communicatio period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory p ire to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by reply received by the Office later than three months after the ed patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	G DATE OF THIS C FR 1.136(a). In no event, horn. eriod will apply and will expir statute, cause the application	OMMUNICATION wever, may a reply be time e SIX (6) MONTHS from t to become ABANDONED	ely filed the mailing date of this coordinates (35 U.S.C. § 133).	•		
Status							
1)[🗆	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 2	22 September 2005.	•				
·		This action is non-fi	nal.				
3)□	Since this application is in condition for all	owance except for fo	ormal matters, pro-	secution as to the	e merits is		
	closed in accordance with the practice und	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	- ``				
Disposit	ion of Claims						
4)⊠	Claim(s) 1-27 is/are pending in the applica	ation.		•			
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are with		ration.				
	Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
	Claim(s) <u>1-27</u> is/are rejected.						
7)	Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
8)	Claim(s) are subject to restriction a	nd/or election require	ement.				
Applicati	on Papers						
9)□	The specification is objected to by the Exar	miner					
			or b) objected t	to by the Examin	er		
10) The drawing(s) filed on <u>12 January 2004</u> is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).							
	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the co				FR 1.121(d).		
11)	The oath or declaration is objected to by th						
Priority u	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12)	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for for	eian priority under 3:	5 U.S.C. § 119(a)-	(d) or (f).			
	☐ All b)☐ Some * c)☐ None of:	5 p,	,	(-, -, (-,			
,	1. Certified copies of the priority docum	nents have been rec	eived.				
	2. Certified copies of the priority docum			n No			
	3. Copies of the certified copies of the				Stage		
	application from the International Bu	reau (PCT Rule 17.	2(a)).		· ·		
* S	ee the attached detailed Office action for a	list of the certified c	opies not received	l .			
Attachmen							
	e of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) 🗀	Interview Summary (I				
3) Inform	e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948 nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SE · No(s)/Mail Date	5)	Paper No(s)/Mail Date Notice of Informal Pa Other:		D-152)		
S. Patent and Tr		ce Action Summary	Part	of Paper No./Mail Da	ate 20060118		

Art Unit: 2682

DETAILED ACTION

Response to Amendment

1. Applicant's request for reconsideration of the finality of the rejection of the last Office action is persuasive and, therefore, the finality of that action is withdrawn.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 2. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 3. Claims 1-27 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Mousseau et al. (US 6,779,019) in view of Dobson (US 6,891,887).

Referring to Claim 1, Mousseau teaches a mobile computing system comprising of:

A common communication device 18 (fig. 1);

a personal computing system (PC) 10A (fig. 1) coupled to the common communication device, the PC including a storage device capable of receiving and storing messages from the communication device 30 (fig. 1); and

a personal digital assistant system (PDA) 24 (fig. 1) coupled to the common communication device, the PDA including a storage device capable receiving and storing messages from the communication device, whereby the storage device of the

Art Unit: 2682

PC is capable of synchronizing messages received from the common communication device with the storage device of the PDA (see col. 6, lines 22-43).

Mousseau does not teach the PC and the PDA capable of controlling the common communication device, but one of the PC and PDA controlling the common communication device at a given time. Dobson teaches the PC 540 (fig. 5) and the PDA 550 (fig. 5) capable of controlling the common communication device 560 or 570 (fig. 5), but one of the PC and PDA controlling the common communication device at a given time (see col. 9, lines 62-67 and col. 10, lines 1-16 noting that a printer and copier can only perform one operation from one machine at a time). Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to provide teachings of Dobson to said device of Mousseau in order to provide convenience in data sharing between various types of mobile devices.

Referring to Claim 2, Mousseau also teaches the storage device of the PC as a memory array comprised of a set of records, and the storage device of the PDA is a memory array comprised of a set of records (see col. 7, lines 32-43).

Referring to Claim 3, Mousseau also teaches direct correspondence established between the set of records of the PC memory array and the set of records of the PDA memory array (see col. 7, lines 32-43).

Referring to Claim 4, Mousseau also teaches messages synchronized between the memory array of the PC and the memory array of the PDA (see col. 7, lines 27-31).

Art Unit: 2682

Referring to Claim 5, Mousseau also teaches messages synchronized between the records of the PC memory array and records of the PDA memory array (see col. 7, lines 27-31).

Referring to Claim 6, Mousseau also teaches a hard disk drive 10A (fig. 1 noting that every desktop computer has a hard disk drive).

Referring to Claim 7, Mousseau also teaches the hard disk drive comprised of a memory array, and the PDA storage device comprised of a memory array, wherein the PC hard disk drive memory array corresponds directly to the PDA memory array (see col. 7, lines 32-43).

Claim 8 has similar limitations as Claim 1.

Referring to Claim 9, Mousseau also teaches the PDA comprising a memory array where messages are received and entered, and the memory array is synchronized into the PC (see col. 7, lines 32-43).

Referring to Claim 10, Mousseau also teaches the PC comprised of a memory array synchronized to the memory array of the PDA (see col. 7, lines 32-43).

Referring to Claim 11, Mousseau also teaches PC comprised of a hard disk drive synchronized to the memory array of the PDA 10A (fig. 1 noting that every desktop computer has a hard disk drive).

Referring to Claim 12, Mousseau teaches a method of clearing and archiving messages in a dual system computer architecture, the dual system computer architecture including a first computer system 10A (fig. 1) coupled to a common

Art Unit: 2682

communication device 18 (fig. 1) and a second computer system 24 (fig. 1) coupled to the common communication device, the method comprising:

receiving and storing messages by the first computer system to a first memory device 30 (fig. 1);

synchronizing the messages with the second computer system, whereby the second computer system archives synchronized messages to a second memory device (see col. 6, lines 22-43); and

deleting synchronized and archived messages whenever the first memory device is filled (see col. 23, lines 15-23).

Mousseau does not teach the first computer system and the second computer system being capable of controlling the common communication device with one of the first computer system and the second computer system controlling the common-communication device at a given time. Dobson teaches the first computer system 540 (fig. 5) and the second computer system 550 (fig. 5) being capable of controlling the common communication device 560 or 570 (fig. 5) with one of the first computer system and the second computer system controlling the common-communication device at a given time (see col. 9, lines 62-67 and col. 10, lines 1-16 noting that a printer and copier can only perform one operation from one machine at a time). Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to provide to teachings of Dobson to said device of Mousseau in order to provide convenience in data sharing between various types of mobile devices.

Art Unit: 2682

Referring to Claim 13, Mousseau also teaches identifying the deleted messages in the first memory devices (see col. 23, lines 15-23).

Referring to Claim 16, Mousseau teaches a method of clearing and archiving messages in a dual system computer architecture, the dual system computer architecture including a first computer system 10A (fig. 1) coupled to a common communication device 18 (fig. 1) and a second computer system 24 (fig. 1) coupled to the common communication device, the method comprising:

receiving and storing messages by the first computer system to a first memory device 30 (fig. 1);

synchronizing the messages with the second computer system, whereby the second computer system archives synchronized messages to a second memory device (see col. 6, lines 22-43); and

informing a user whenever the first memory device is filled (see col. 23, lines 15-23).

Mousseau does not teach the first computer system and the second computer system being capable of controlling the common communication device with one of the first computer system and the second computer system controlling the common-communication device at a given time. Dobson teaches the first computer system 540 (fig. 5) and the second computer system 550 (fig. 5) being capable of controlling the common communication device 560 or 570 (fig. 5) with one of the first computer system and the second computer system controlling the common-communication device at a

Art Unit: 2682

given time (see col. 9, lines 62-67 and col. 10, lines 1-16 noting that a printer and copier can only perform one operation from one machine at a time). Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to provide to teachings of Dobson to said device of Mousseau in order to provide convenience in data sharing between various types of mobile devices.

Referring to Claim 17, Mousseau also teaches deleting messages from the first memory device after the messages have been read by the user (see col. 23, lines 1-5).

Referring to Claims 14, 15, 18, and 19, Mousseau also teaches the first computer system as a PDA and the second computer system as a PC (see fig. 1).

Referring to Claims 20-27, Mousseau also teaches setting preferences as to received and stored messages (see col. 24, lines 30-40).

Response to Arguments

4. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1-27 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Eugene Yun whose telephone number is (571) 272-7860. The examiner can normally be reached on 9:00am-6:00pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Doris To can be reached on (571)272-7629. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Application/Control Number: 09/770,162 Page 8

Art Unit: 2682

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Eugene Yun Examiner Art Unit 2682

EY

DORIS H. TO SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2600